

# Families of lattice polarized K3 surfaces with monodromy

Alan Thompson

Fields Institute / University of Alberta / University of Waterloo

8th December 2013

(Joint work with C. Doran, A. Harder and A. Novoseltsev)

# Introduction

Let  $X$  be a K3 surface and let  $\omega \in H^{2,0}(X)$  be a generator.

Recall that the cup-product endows  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with the structure of a lattice, isometric to the *K3 lattice*

$$\Lambda_{K3} := H \oplus H \oplus H \oplus (-E_8) \oplus (-E_8)$$

$\omega^\perp \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is the *Néron-Severi group* of  $X$ , denoted  $\text{NS}(X)$ .

If  $N$  is a lattice of signature  $(1, n)$ , then  $X$  is called  *$N$ -polarized* if there exists a primitive lattice embedding  $N \hookrightarrow \text{NS}(X)$ .

## Aim

*Extend this definition to families of K3 surfaces.*

A *family of K3 surfaces* will be a flat surjective morphism  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  from a variety  $\mathcal{X}$  to a smooth, irreducible, quasiprojective base  $U$ , so that for every  $p \in U$ , the fibre  $X_p$  above  $p$  is a smooth K3 surface.

Assume also that there is a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , whose restriction  $\mathcal{L}_p$  to  $X_p$  is ample and primitive in  $\text{Pic}(X_p)$  for each  $p \in U$ .

**Note:** We do not assume that  $U$  is simply connected!

# The simple answer

Hosono, Lian, Oguiso and Yau give a simple answer to the problem of extending a lattice polarization to such a family:

## Definition

A family K3 surfaces is called *N-polarizable* if each fibre is *N*-polarized.

This definition does not provide any control over the embeddings  $N \hookrightarrow \text{NS}(X_p)$ , which are only fixed up to automorphisms of the K3 lattice.

We would like to study the action of monodromy on classes in  $\text{NS}(X_p)$ , so this definition is too weak.

To do better, we begin by defining a relative version of the Néron-Severi group.

Using the Hodge filtration  $F^\bullet$  on  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ , we may define

$$\mathcal{H}^{2,0}(\mathcal{X}) := F^2(R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U).$$

Let  $\mathcal{NS}(\mathcal{X})$  denote the local subsystem of  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$  which is orthogonal to a flat local section of  $\mathcal{H}^{2,0}(\mathcal{X})$ . This is defined globally and equal to the Picard sheaf of  $\pi$ .

## Another answer

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a local subsystem of  $\mathcal{NS}(\mathcal{X})$  such that, for any  $p \in U$ , the fibre  $\mathcal{N}_p$  embeds into  $H^2(X_p, \mathbb{Z})$  as a primitive sublattice that is (non-canonically) isomorphic to a fixed lattice  $N$ .

### Definition

The family  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *N-polarized* if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a trivial local system.

This is too constrained: a choice of isomorphism  $\mathcal{N}_p \cong N$  for any point  $p \in U$  determines uniquely an isomorphism  $\mathcal{N}_{p'} \cong N$  for every other point  $p' \in U$  by parallel transport. So monodromy must act trivially on  $\mathcal{N}_p$ .

We want to find something in between the underconstrained definition of *N-polarizable*, where we have no control over the action of monodromy, and the overconstrained definition of *N-polarized*, where the action of monodromy is forced to be trivial.

# Monodromy representations

Choose a base point  $p \in U$ . Parallel transport around loops in  $U$  gives a monodromy representation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{X}}: \pi_1(U, p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$$

which restricts to a monodromy representation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{NS}}: \pi_1(U, p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X_p)).$$

Similarly, for any local subsystem  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$  we get a monodromy representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Note:** If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -polarized, the image of  $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$  will be the trivial subgroup.



Let  $N^*$  be the dual lattice of  $N$ . Define the *discriminant lattice* of  $N$  to be  $A_N := N^*/N$ ; there is a natural map  $\alpha_N: O(N) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_N)$ .

## Definition

Let  $N$  be an even lattice of signature  $(1, n)$  and let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(A_N)$ . Assume that there is a local subsystem  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{NS}(\mathcal{X})$  with fibres isometric to  $N$  and primitively embedded into  $H^2(X_p, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is called an  $(N, G)$ -*polarized family of K3 surfaces* if the restriction of  $\alpha_N$  to the image of  $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$  is injective and has image inside  $G$ .

**Note:** Let  $\text{Id}$  denote the trivial subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(A_N)$ . Then a family is  $N$ -polarized if and only if it is  $(N, \text{Id})$ -polarized.

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  be a family of K3 surfaces with generic Néron-Severi group  $N$ . Then  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is  $(N, G)$ -polarized for some  $G \subset \text{Aut}(A_N)$  if and only if there is no  $\gamma \in \pi_1(U, p)$  such that  $\rho_{NS}(\gamma) = \sigma|_{NS(X_p)}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a symplectic automorphism of  $X_p$ .*

## Corollary

*Any family of K3 surfaces with generic Néron-Severi group  $N$  having  $\text{rank}(N) < 9$  is  $(N, G)$ -polarized for some  $G \subset \text{Aut}(N)$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  be a family of K3 surfaces with generic Néron-Severi group  $N$ . Suppose that a general fibre of  $\mathcal{X}$  admits a symplectic automorphism  $\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma$  extends to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if its action on  $\text{NS}(X_p)$  commutes with the image of  $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}$ .*

## Corollary

*If  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is  $N$ -polarized, then  $\sigma$  extends to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

# Shioda-Inose structures

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is an  $N$ -polarized family of K3 surfaces with generic Néron-Severi group  $N$  and suppose that there exists an embedding of  $E_8 \oplus E_8$  into  $N$ .

This defines a *Shioda-Inose structure* on a general fibre  $X_p$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , giving a canonical Nikulin involution  $\beta$  on  $X_p$ . The resolved quotient  $\widetilde{X_p/\beta}$  is a Kummer surface.

By the previous corollary, this involution extends to a global involution on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the resolved quotient. Then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is fibred by Kummer surfaces.

# A question

**Question:** Can we find a family of Abelian surfaces  $\mathcal{A}$  that give  $\mathcal{Y}$  under fibrewise application of the Kummer construction?

**Answer:** Yes, if  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $N' := \text{NS}(Y_p)$ -polarized.

We call this process *undoing the Kummer construction*.

## However...

In general this does not hold. Instead,  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $(N', G)$ -polarized for

$$G = \ker(\alpha_{N^\perp}) / \ker(\alpha_{N^\perp(2)}).$$

Thus if  $U$  is one dimensional, then we can find a  $|G|$ -sheeted cover  $f: U' \rightarrow U$  so that the pull-back  $f^*\mathcal{Y}$  is  $N'$ -polarized. This allows us to undo the Kummer construction for  $f^*\mathcal{Y}$ .

Many of our favourite Calabi-Yau threefolds admit  $M_n$ -polarized K3 fibrations  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$ , where  $M_n$  is the lattice

$$M_n := H \oplus E_8 \oplus E_8 \oplus \langle -2n \rangle.$$

For instance, the quintic mirror admits an  $M_2$ -polarized K3 fibration and the mirror to the sextic  $\mathbb{WP}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2)[6]$  admits an  $M_1$ -polarized K3 fibration.

The K3 fibres in these fibrations admit Shioda-Inose structures, which define global fibrewise Nikulin involutions as before.

# The groups $G$

The resolved quotient threefolds are new Calabi-Yau threefolds  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow U$ , fibred by Kummer surfaces. To study them, we would like to construct them directly by the fibrewise Kummer construction. To do this, we need to undo the Kummer construction for the threefolds  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

We begin by computing the groups  $G$ . For instance:

- In the  $M_1$ -polarized case, we have  $G = S_3 \times C_2$ .
- In the  $M_2$ -polarized case, we have  $G = D_8$ .



# Generalized functional invariants

To go further we begin by noting that, in each case, the K3 fibration  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  on the Calabi-Yau threefold is the pull-back of a K3 fibration  $\mathcal{X}_n$  from the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{M_n}$  of  $M_n$ -polarized K3 surfaces, via the *generalized functional invariant map*  $\Phi$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{M}_{M_n} \end{array}$$

# Covers

If we quotient the threefolds  $\mathcal{X}_n$  by the fibrewise Nikulin involution and resolve, we obtain new threefolds  $\mathcal{Y}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M_n}$  that are fibred by Kummer surfaces.

The Calabi-Yau threefolds  $\mathcal{Y}$  are birational to the pull-backs  $\Phi^*\mathcal{Y}_n$  by the generalized functional invariant map.

If we can find a cover  $f: C_{M_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M_n}$  so that the pull-back  $f^*\mathcal{Y}_n$  is  $N'$ -polarized (where  $N'$  is the Néron-Severi lattice of a generic fibre of  $\mathcal{Y}_n$ ), then we can undo the Kummer construction for  $f^*\mathcal{Y}_n$ .

Finally, we can then undo the Kummer construction for  $\mathcal{Y}$  by pulling-back to the fibre product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times_{\mathcal{M}_{M_n}} C_{M_n} & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ C_{M_n} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{M}_{M_n} \end{array}$$

What are the covers  $C_{M_n}$ ?

In each case,  $\mathcal{M}_{M_n}$  is a modular curve and  $C_{M_n}$  is a  $|G|$ -fold cover of it.

For instance, for  $n = 2$  (corresponding to the quintic mirror, amongst other examples), we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{M_2} \cong \Gamma_0(2)^+ \backslash \mathbb{H}.$$

Then  $C_{M_2}$  is the cover

$$C_{M_2} \cong (\Gamma_0(4) \cap \Gamma(2)) \backslash \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \Gamma_0(2)^+ \backslash \mathbb{H}.$$

This is an 8-fold cover, as expected from  $G = D_8$ .