

# Degenerations Of $\langle 2 \rangle$ -Polarised K3 Surfaces

Alan Thompson

October 22, 2009

This talk is based upon the preprint *Degenerations of  $\langle 2 \rangle$ -Polarised K3 Surfaces*, which is available from the preprints section of my website:

<http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~thompsona>

Full proofs of all results (or references to them) may be found there.

This talk follows on from two talks (*The Construction of Ample  $\langle 2 \rangle$ -Polarised K3-Fibrations* and *Degenerations of Surfaces with Kodaira Number Zero*) given last year. However, I don't expect people to remember the details, so we will recap them briefly now.

**Definition.** A  $\langle 2 \rangle$ -Polarised K3 Surface  $(X, H)$  is a 2-dimensional nonsingular complex algebraic surface  $X$  with  $K_X \sim 0$  and  $b_1(X) = 0$  (a K3 surface), and an ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$  satisfying  $H^2 = 2$

If  $H$  is base point free,  $H$  defines an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c} X \xrightarrow{\sim} X_6 = \{z^2 = f_6(x_1, x_2, x_3)\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{(1,1,1,3)}[x_1, x_2, x_3, z] \\ \downarrow 2:1 \\ \mathbb{P}^2[x_1, x_2, x_3] \end{array}$$

exhibiting  $X$  as a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  ramified over a nonsingular sextic curve  $f_6(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$ .  $H$  is mapped to the pull-back of the hyperplane section in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  under this map. We are interested in studying families of such things.

Let  $\Delta$  denote a small complex disc. Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \Delta$  be a projective, flat, surjective morphism of normal complex varieties whose general fibres (i.e. fibres over  $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ ) are K3 surfaces. Let  $H$  be a divisor on  $Y$  that induces a base point free  $\langle 2 \rangle$ -polarisation on a general fibre.

Then it can be shown that there exists a birational map

$$\mu : Y \dashrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3) \times \Delta$$

that is an isomorphism over  $\Delta^*$ , and such that the morphism  $Y_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$  induced on a general fibre agrees with the morphism defined on  $Y_t$  by the polarisation induced by  $H$  (that was described above). We want to know what happens to the fibre of  $Y$  over 0 when we apply  $\mu$  to it.

However, in order to be able to do this we will need to make some assumptions on  $Y$ . We will assume that:

- $Y$  is semistable, i.e.  $Y$  is nonsingular and  $Y_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$  is a reduced divisor with normal crossings. By a theorem of Knudsen-Mumford-Waterman ('73), this can always be arranged by a composition of a base change and a series of birational modifications.
- $Y$  is Kulikov, i.e.  $K_Y \sim 0$ . By a theorem of Kulikov ('77, '81) and Persson-Pinkham ('81), this can always be arranged by a further series of birational modifications.

The central fibres in such  $Y$  have been classified by Persson ('77), Kulikov ('77) and Friedman-Morrison ('83). We make use of this classification when finding the images of these fibres under  $\mu$ .

So what can the central fibres  $Y_0$  be? There are 4 ways in which the assumptions on the general fibre may fail on  $Y_0$ :

- (I)  $Y_0$  is a smooth K3 surface,  $H_0$  (the divisor induced on  $Y_0$  by  $H$ ) is base point free but not ample.
- (II)  $Y_0$  is not smooth, but a “Type II” degeneration of K3 surfaces, in the classification mentioned above.
- (III)  $Y_0$  is not smooth, but a “Type III” degeneration of K3 surfaces, in the classification mentioned above.
- (IV)  $Y_0$  is a smooth K3 surface, and  $H_0$  has base points.

We will focus on case (II) in this talk, and see what the effect of  $\mu$  is on a fibre of this type.

In order to do this, first we need to know what a fibre of Type II looks like. By the classification mentioned before, the central fibre  $Y_0$  in a Type II degeneration of K3 surfaces is a chain  $V_0 \cup \dots \cup V_r$  of surfaces such that:

- $V_i \cap V_{i+1} = D_i$  is a smooth elliptic curve for all  $i$ .
- $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  if  $|i - j| > 1$ .
- $V_1, \dots, V_{r-1}$  are surfaces ruled over  $D_0, \dots, D_{r-2}$  respectively.

- $V_0$  and  $V_r$  are rational surfaces, i.e. birational to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

Now we have this, we can proceed with finding the image of such a fibre under  $\mu$ . In order to do this, we aim to find a “special” divisor  $H'$  on  $Y$ , equal to  $H$  over  $\Delta^*$ , such that the linear system induced by  $H'$  on *every* fibre of  $Y$  defines a birational map to  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$ . Then, by the properness of the Hilbert scheme,  $H'$  will define the map  $\mu$  on  $Y$ , and the induced divisor  $H'_0$  on  $Y_0$  will define the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $Y_0$ . We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' - \xrightarrow{H'} & \rightarrow & W \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3) \times \Delta \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ Y_0 - \xrightarrow{H'_0} & \rightarrow & W_0 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3) \end{array}$$

where our aim is to find  $W_0$ . In order to do this, however, we need to know what this “special”  $H'$  is. We have:

**Theorem** (Friedman '84). *There exist integers  $a_i$  such that, after a series of birational modifications have been applied to  $Y$ , the twisted divisor  $H' = H + \sum_{i=0}^r a_i V_i$  satisfies:*

1.  $H'$  is numerically effective.
2.  $V_1, \dots, V_{r-1}$  are minimal ruled.
3.  $H'|_{V_i}$  is a sum of fibres for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ .
4.  $H'.D_i > 0$  for all  $i$ .

This  $H'$  turns out to be exactly what we are looking for.

Let  $\mu_0$  be the map defined on  $Y_0$  by  $H'_0$  (which agrees with the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $Y_0$ ). Then properties (2)-(4) above imply that  $\mu_0$  contracts  $V_1, \dots, V_{r-1}$  onto  $D_0 \cong D_{r-1}$ . This leaves us with two rational surfaces  $V_0$  and  $V_r$  meeting along an elliptic curve  $D := D_0$ .

Let  $H'_0 := H'|_{V_0}$  and  $H'_r := H'|_{V_r}$ . Then  $(H'_0)^2 + (H'_r)^2 = 2$  and, by (1) in the theorem,  $(H'_i)^2 \geq 0$  for each  $i$ . By Riemann-Roch and adjunction, there are four possibilities:

1.  $H'_i$  is connected for  $i = 0, r$  with  $g(H'_0) = g(H'_r) = 0$ ,  $(H'_0)^2 = (H'_r)^2 = 1$  and  $H'_i.D = 3$ .
2.  $H'_i$  is connected for  $i = 0, r$  with  $g(H'_0) = g(H'_r) = 1$ ,  $(H'_0)^2 = (H'_r)^2 = 1$  and  $H'_i.D = 1$ .

3.  $H'_i$  is connected for  $i = 0, r$  with  $g(H'_0) = 1$ ,  $g(H'_r) = 0$ ,  $(H'_0)^2 = 2$ ,  $(H'_r)^2 = 0$  and  $H'_i.D = 2$ .
4.  $H'_0$  is connected but  $|H'_r|$  has no connected members.  $g(H'_0) = 0$ ,  $(H'_0)^2 = 2$ ,  $(H'_1)^2 = 0$  and  $H'_i.D = 4$ .

We will show by means of an example how to use this information to calculate the image of  $\mu_0$ .

Consider case (3) above. We have rational surfaces  $V_0$  and  $V_r$  meeting along a smooth elliptic curve  $D$ . There are connected divisors  $H'_0$  on  $V_0$  and  $H'_r$  on  $V_r$  with  $g(H'_0) = 1$ ,  $g(H'_r) = 0$ ,  $(H'_0)^2 = 2$ ,  $(H'_r)^2 = 0$  and  $H'_i.D = 2$ .

By the properties of rational surfaces, we may assume that the linear system  $|H'_0|$  has no fixed components or base points, and contains irreducible members. This means that the restriction of  $\mu_0$  to  $V_0$  will be a morphism (i.e. no points will be blown up).

By Riemann-Roch,  $|H'_0|$  defines a morphism

$$\varphi_{H_0} : V_0 \longrightarrow \{z^2 = f_4(x_i)\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{(1,1,1,2)}[x_1, x_2, x_3, z],$$

where  $\{f_4(x_i) = 0\}$  is a quartic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with at worst A-D-E singularities.  $D$  is mapped to the nonsingular curve  $\{l(x_i) = z^2 - f_4(x_i) = 0\}$ , where  $l$  is linear. This is a double cover of the line  $\{l(x_i) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  ramified over four points (i.e. an elliptic curve).

Next we look at  $V_r$ . Again,  $|H'_r|$  has no fixed components or base points. This time, by Riemann-Roch,  $|H'_r|$  defines a morphism

$$\varphi_{H_r} : V_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

contracting  $V_r$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Under this morphism  $D$  is mapped surjectively to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and the restriction of  $\varphi_{H_r}$  exhibits  $D$  as a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  ramified over four points.

The contraction of  $V_r$  is realised on  $V_0$  by the map:

$$\begin{aligned} g : \{z^2 - f_4(x_i) = 0\} &\longrightarrow \{w^2 - l^2(x_i)f_4(x_i) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{(1,1,1,3)}[x_1, x_2, x_3, w] \\ (x_i, z) &\longmapsto (x_i, l(x_i)z) \end{aligned}$$

So the map defined on  $V_0$  by the restriction of  $H$  is given by  $\varphi_H(V_0) = g \circ \varphi_{H_0}(V_0)$ , and

$$W_0 = \{w^2 - l^2(x_i)f_4(x_i) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{(1,1,1,3)}[x_1, x_2, x_3, w].$$